



Automatic Quality Testing And Distribution Of AV Updates

Andreas Marx

amarx@gega-it.de

AV-Test.org

University of Magdeburg, Germany



Introduction

AV-Test.org

Full automatic testing is impossible, but...

- A lot of things can be done automatically, reliable and very fast!



Why automatic testing?

AV-Test.org

- ◆ AV programs need regular updates
- ◆ Software is unsteady (small changes can cause a lot of side effects)
- ◆ Not only Engine-related, but all signature file updates have to be tested, too

Two main test categories

AV-Test.org

1. AV functions:

◆ Normal, regular updates:

- Released every few weeks, tested regularly
- Time to test: 2-3 days or more

◆ Emergency Updates:

- Only a few minutes to test!

2. Other functions (GUI etc.):

- Blackbox, Whitebox tests etc.

What should be tested?

AV-Test.org

- ◆ New virus detection should be higher than the last update
 - ◆ Virus names should be unchanged (log file comparison)
 - ◆ Same disinfected files (compared with old disinfection collection)
 - ◆ No false positives
- etc.



Automation I

AV-Test.org

Only a few computers are needed (10-15)...

- With different hardware, OS and slightly other configuration
- At best, like "usual" customer computers

Important: The GUI version has to be tested too, not only the command-line scanner.



Automation II

AV-Test.org

- ◆ Doing the same things on all computers at the same time should give the same results (one person is able to test 15 different configurations at the same time)
- ◆ Remote control (using scripts) of computers to start GUI scan jobs etc.



Don't forget...

AV-Test.org

- ◆ GUI tests on different language versions (both program and OS)
- ◆ Tests on OS that look nearly the same (Windows 98 vs. 98 SE or Netware 4.20 vs. 5.10)
- ◆ More than just one test for each issue (using different ways)
- ◆ Include formerly false positives
- ◆ Test all supported engines with the latest signatures



Variation of tests

AV-Test.org

- ◆ Create new test files
- ◆ Invite new testers
- ◆ Change the way of testing
- ◆ Include destroyed or other invalid files (what happens?)



Update Distribution

AV-Test.org

Problem: The AV company is fast enough to release an updates, but...

- ◆ The user don't know about it!
- ◆ In emergency situations (think about Nimda or Vote) the server of av companies are overloaded
- ◆ And the update has to be distributed to everyone in the company

What's the best update time?

AV-Test.org

- ◆ Manually pressing one button?
 - ◆ Once a month, week, day or hour?
 - ◆ During outbreak situations: IMMEDIATELY!
 - ◆ What about an "Outbreak Service" - the server will be notified that a new, important update is available using standard ways, like e-mail
- ... but if 100.000 customers are notified at the same time?

Outbreak Updates

AV-Test.org

- ◆ Send out a notification slightly delayed to everyone (starting with the biggest customers)
- ◆ Use more than just one big server at one location (not only USA, but Germany too - special providers exist!)
- ◆ Use small, incremental emergency updates (1-2 KB only)

Update strategies I

AV-Test.org

Old: Always everything:

- ◆ *BIG* and *BIGGER* and *much BIGGER*
- Not very useful in the times, where updates can be 1-2 MB big

Better way:

- ◆ *BIG* and *small updates*, and the small update patches the big one to the most current version
- No big code changes necessary!

Methods of patching

AV-Test.org

- ◆ Using easy, generic methods: Big updates, but small program code
- ◆ Using specialized methods for a known file structure: Much smaller updates possible, but a lot of code has to be written and if the file structure changes, it has to be rewritten...

Of course, all patched files should be checked – using MD5 or other cryptographic methods instead of CRC32

Update strategies II

AV-Test.org

One time BIG and *small* and *slightly bigger*
etc.

→ Only one file has to be updated, easier to handle

BIG and *INC* and *INC* and *INC* etc.

→ A lot of files have to be handled (which ones are
needed?)

Distribution

AV-Test.org

- ◆ Old: All computers communicate directly with the download server at the av company
- ◆ Better: Special in-house update server (only this server communicates with the outside server), distributes it to all servers and clients
- ◆ (E-Mail-, HTTP- and FTP-) Gateway or Groupware systems can still be updated directly

Important: Not only signature files have to be updated, but the engine and the programs need to be updated too!



Usual problems

AV-Test.org

- ◆ Push vs. Pull (restart for login scripts?)
- ◆ FAT vs. NTFS on:
 - Daylight saving time
 - Only even seconds



Questions?



AV-Test.org

